

The American Kangaroo Court

As a part of Americana, the definition of “Kangaroo Court” is a term to near and dear to a lot of Americans. The term was coined in California, in about 1849, during the gold rush and the first recorded usage is from the State of Texas. Despite the association of kangaroos with Australia, the phrase is considered American.

Mock Justice

The term is often applied to courts subjectively judged as such, while others consider the court to be legitimate and legal. A kangaroo court may be a court that has had its integrity compromised; for example, if the judge is not impartial and refuses to be excused.

It can also be, an elaborately scripted event intended to appear fair while having the predetermined outcome from the start. This is what happened when a national party came to power and began to manipulate the courts with their own party processes against the enemies of the National-Socialist regime.

The term “kangaroo court” implies that the result is fixed (usually guilty) before the trial begins and the act of a trial is just for show; hence my title for this piece. Yet not all the verdicts are guilty. I will also bring the exonerated to the front to show how American justice can ‘swing with their party’, so to speak. But first I will take you to some court trials from earlier centuries then, to the American system of Justice and what it has morphed into.

Let’s begin with the Spanish Inquisition from A.D. 1478-1808 **

** The Spanish Inquisition was perhaps the most cynical plot in the black history of Catholicism, aimed at expropriating the property of wealthy Jews and converts in Spain for the benefit of the royal court and the Roman Catholic Church. Even dead Jews were dug up and put on trial so their estates could be confiscated from their heirs.

When Tomas Torquemada was appointed Inquisitor General of Spain in 1483, he printed Manuals of Inquisition, which told the citizens of Spain how to spot a Jew or maranno (pig), a term used to identify those who converted to Judaism. Once caught, these people were to be brought to trial by the Roman Catholic Church.

The trial included a chamber - using fire, garrote, rack, whip and needle to force those on trial to confess the sin of converting to Judaism, or of being closet Jews. Inquisitional tortures continued well into the eighteenth century. During that time 323,362 people were burned, and 17,659 were burned in effigy. It is one of the darkest times in Spanish history. **

Now let’s look at Germany, when Hitler was declared Chancellor of Germany and after the Reichstag fire (see America’s Reichstag Fire) when civil rights were removed for the safety and protection of the people because of a terrorist threat. The courts were altered to make the right decisions for the protection of the people yet it was the promotion of

the party ideals that were to be protected and promoted that caused death and imprisonment of many people who opposed the Nazi party. You may ask, What does that have to do with America? Stay tuned and I will show you.

Dr. Hans Frank, Commissioner of Justice and Reich Law Leader, told the jurists in 1936, "The National Socialist ideology is the foundation of all laws, especially as explained in the party program and in the speeches of the Fuehrer." Dr. Frank went on to explain what he meant. *

There is no independence of law against National Socialism. Say to yourself at every decision which you would make: "Is this decision compatible with the National Socialist conscience of the German people? Then, you will have a firm iron-foundation which, allied with the unity of the National Socialist People's State and with your recognition of the eternal nature of the will of Adolf Hitler, will endow your own sphere of decision with the authority of the Third Reich, for this and all time". *

A new Civil Service law in Germany was passed on **January 26, 1937** (note the date) which called for the dismissal of officials, including judges for "political unreliability". Furthermore, all jurists were forced to join the "League of National Socialist Jurists" which they were often called. They lectured along the lines of Dr. Franks talk. This gave the National Socialists a control over the courts of Germany and over every decision made in the courts.

Every enemy of Hitler and his henchmen was either put to death or placed into prison camps for slave labor. Hitler packed the courts with his jurists to make the 'right decisions' for their party.

Make some substitutions: Substitute the Democrat Party for National Socialist Party, the American people for German, and Franklin D. Roosevelt's in place of Adolf Hitler. This better exemplifies what is taking place in today's court system. Before you lose complete control over the last statement let me explain what Hitler and Roosevelt had in common.

In his book – "Men in Black", Mark Levin, noted Constitutional scholar and devoted American, (in my estimation) explains how to get by Congress and the will of the American people by packing a Supreme Court with 'jurists' and get the court to ratify by fiat what would not be accepted by the American people. Some of these 'jurists' were not at all concerned with the outlines of the Constitution at heart but what the man (Roosevelt) wanted; this included intimidation of some of the other 'sitting' jurists.

The last serious effort to reign in the Supreme Court---President Franklin Roosevelt's "court packing" scheme of the 1930's ---was an attempt to change the Court's direction by expanding its size. Since the Constitution is silent about the number of justices who serve on the Court, its membership is determined by federal legislation. Congress set the original number at six, and after some fluctuation, Roosevelt wanted the power to name additional justices who would be sympathetic to his proposals. This blatantly

political effort had mixed results. In **March, 1937** (note the date and match it with the one above).

Shortly after the start of his second term, Roosevelt used his ninth “Fireside Chat” from the White House to rouse the public against the Supreme Court. He told his listeners:

“The Court, in addition to the proper use of its judicial functions, has improperly set itself up as a third house of Congress---a super legislature, as one of the justices has called it
Reading into the Constitution words and implications which are not there, and which were never intended to be there.

We have therefore reached the point as a nation where we must take action to save the Constitution from the Court and the Court from itself. We must find away to take an appeal from the Supreme Court to the Constitution itself. We want a Supreme Court which will do justice under the Constitution and over it. In our courts we want a government of laws and not of men.”

The president added that the Supreme Court and the lower federal courts were blocking his New Deal legislation because many of the justices were old and feeble. Roosevelt proposed legislation giving the President power to appoint new justices for every justice that stayed past the age of seventy, up to a total of six new justices. The President could also add judges to lower federal courts if the judges didn’t retire at seventy.

Nevertheless, Congress and the American people were skeptical. Roosevelt’s own Vice President, John Nance Garner, a former speaker of the House and longtime member from Texas, broke with the President over the plan and worked actively against it. Chief Justice Charles Evan Hughes even wrote to Senator Burton K. Wheeler (a liberal Democrat and leading opponent of the plan) to contradict the President’s claims that Court was overburdened and that additional justices would alleviate that condition.

“An increase in the number of justices of the Supreme Court . . . would not promote the efficiency of the court. It is believed that it would impair the efficiency so long as the court acts as a unit. There would be more judges to hear, more judges to confer, more judges to discuss, more judges to be convinced and more judges to deride”. *

A little side note: when Roosevelt died in 1945, eight of the nine Supreme Court jurists were put there by Franklin D. Roosevelt. Oh yes, a lot of his New Deal was put into place, which are now proven failed programs and bankrupt, a shining example Social Security. Find out more about the New Deal and failed government policies, read

“Liberty and Tyranny” by Mark Levin.

Conclusion

I believe Roosevelt interpreted every speech and analyzed everything that Hitler and the Third Reich were doing and watched the results thereof. I believe Roosevelt copied ‘that system’ to a certain degree, based on the following results of the National Socialist party.

- Roosevelt knew in order to get his socialist programs implemented and to create a new slave to the state class, (bureaucratic government employees) who would primarily vote for those who would give them the most, or become dependent to the government (welfare recipients) Roosevelt had to gain a stronghold over the courts to legitimize his particular agenda.
- Roosevelt was a socialist, Hitler was a socialist. Two different methods yet the same results, by putting more and more power in the hands of fewer people.

What is my own connotation of what a “pure socialist” is?

A pure socialist is a person who’s ideology is their god. They have no country, land or flag. Most of all, socialists have no honor. You cannot be an individual with your own thoughts and ideas, dreams or desires, unless it coincides with “the collective will” (theirs). If it does not, then you are one of the masses that need to be controlled - to be forced into re-education camps (modern school systems).

There is no room for freedom of the mind, spirit or soul. You must worship at ‘their altar of ideals’ or submit to survive, or be destroyed through character assassination or wrongful lawsuits or if worse comes to worse - death. Because, to think for yourself and cherish liberty you are a menace to their idea of the greater good of humanity, which is their control of society in general.

For this reason America has been and needs to be slain at their altar, because, FREEDOM stands in the way of perfection - not as I see it or you may see it - but what they believe. Socialism is death to freedom and liberty. As an example I will present the perfect word picture: The Koran and the Muslim faith submit to their beliefs or die; the Muslims adore Herr Hitler and his book, Mein Kampf (interpreted: My Struggle).

As an American, I am completely amazed how the people elected to lead this country will ravage the Constitution to gain control of this Nation to destroy it and make it like petty third world tyrannical regimes. Regimes that destroy their people, causing needless suffering and death for the ones they are elected to govern. Instead they want to rule, and will cause Americans to starve to death in the land of plenty; just to implement a kingdom rule where they are all-powerful.

Those that lead and have this goal don’t seem to realize that their time here on this earth has been measured and eventually they will go the way of the grave, like those who been elected before them. They don’t seem to realize that they are just mortal. There is a greatness they don’t realize it’s called ‘love of country’; that should be the legacy of every American... Time to move on.

Kangaroo Court Case Election Manipulation The Case of Three in One and Oil

I will present a case as I see it of fraud and malfeasance on the part of the prosecuting attorneys, judges and a framed picture of judgment, along with election tampering. This will deal with the Alaskan picture of politics and Mr. Ted Stevens, yet can be equated with the overall happenings in the Lower 48 if one was to look.

Setting: An imaginary phone call early in 2006

Harry Reid, "Hey, Mark (Begich) this is Harry"

(Begich) "Mr. Reid, how are you?"

(Reid) "Listen Mark, were going to want you to run for Senator in 2008 and we'll back you"

(Begich) "I can't beat Stevens on an even playing field"

(Reid) "Don't worry we're going to set up some stings and weaken your opponent and take him off the field of play during the campaign all you have to do, is be a party man and we'll take care of the rest"

(Begich) "I'll be your boy Harry"

(Reid) "Now don't you worry, Markie, we'll take care of your problems"

Mark Begich went to meet his playing partners in Oct. of 2007.

September 1, 2006 a series of raids on certain legislator's offices around the state occurred. The "Corrupt Bastards Club" as it was known, had 12 legislators: eleven Republicans and one Democrat indicted on various corruption charges. Of the indictments and convictions, I will deal with Pete Kott and Vic Kohring and how it ties in with Mr. Stevens.

These men were convicted of mainly being bribed to vote a certain way on legislation affecting VECO's interests in the oil business. The prosecuting attorneys were said to have withheld information from the defense and some of the evidence presented against the legislators was tainted.

Here are some excerpts from Dan Joling, AP writer; June 10, 2009

"Two former state lawmakers could be released from prison soon after a federal appeals court Wednesday ordered their corruption convictions reviewed. The orders were expected after the U.S. Justice Department last week concluded prosecutors improperly handled evidence in the 2007 trials of former Alaska House speaker Pete Kott and former Rep. Vic Kohring, both Republicans.

Another excerpt same article, "The U.S. attorneys involved in the cases of Kott and Kohring also helped prosecute former Sen. Ted Stevens. A jury found longtime Republican lawmaker guilty in October on seven counts of lying on financial disclosure forms. A judge dismissed the case in April saying prosecutors withheld evidence."

Summary

The prosecutors who withheld evidence were involved in all three cases. Mr. Stevens had to defend himself in another state - other than Alaska during the election cycle while being subjected to allegations from those seeking his seat.

Mr. Stevens was convicted of "Allegations" that proved to be false and eventually he was exonerated from them but not before he "lost" the election. A Democrat operative (Mark Begich) was seated in his Senate seat acting like he actually won something. This directly supports the courts affecting the outcome of elections and is a direct descendant of the manipulation of the courts by those of a political party; judges and prosecuting attorneys are chosen because of their ties to a certain party's ideals rather than upholding the laws of the land without prejudice.

Another case in point: the recent appointment of Sonia Sotomayor to the Supreme Court, she has no qualifications as a non-partisan-jurist; instead, she is just a wise Latina woman.

Sincerely, Gerald L. Heikes, 9/12/09

* William L. Shirer, The Rise and Fall of the Third Reich

** John Hagee, Jerusalem Countdown

*** **Mark L. Levin**, Men in Black: How the Supreme Court Is Destroying America